

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

Pupil premium strategy statement: Archbishop Temple Church of England High School

There is no ‘one size fits all’ solution to closing the attainment gap. Instead, a number of measures are required, tailored to each school’s circumstances and stage on the improvement journey. These measures include setting a culture of high expectations for all pupils, understanding how schools can make a difference, selecting a range of evidence-based strategies tailored to meet the needs of individual schools and pupils, and implementing them well.

At Archbishop Temple School, we feel the below points underpin our strategy for closing the attainment gap:

1. Promote an ethos of attainment for all pupils, rather than stereotyping disadvantaged pupils as a group with less potential to succeed.
2. Have an individualised approach to addressing barriers to learning and emotional support, at an early stage, rather than providing access to generic support and focusing on pupils nearing their end-of-key-stage assessments.
3. Focus on high quality teaching first rather than on bolt-on strategies and activities outside school hours.
4. Focus on outcomes for individual pupils rather than on providing strategies.
5. Deploy the best staff to support disadvantaged pupils; rather than using additional staff who do not know the pupils well.
6. Make decisions based on data and respond to evidence, using frequent, rather than one-off assessment and decision points.
7. Have clear, responsive leadership: setting ever higher aspirations and devolving responsibility for raising attainment to all staff, rather than accepting low aspirations and variable performance

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

1. Summary information					
School	Archbishop Temple				
Academic Year	2017/18	Total PP budget	£81,940	Date of most recent PP Review	Nov 2016
Total number of pupils	762	Number of pupils eligible for PP	106 (14%)	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Nov 2017

2. Current attainment		
	<i>Pupils eligible for PP (your school)</i>	<i>Pupils not eligible for PP /(national average)</i>
Attainment in English	10.77	12.73/10.41
Attainment in Maths	8.62	12.07/9.71
Progress 8 score average	0.02	0.50/ 0
Attainment 8 score average	44.0	61.5/Not yet available

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)		
In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)		
A.	Progress of pupil premium pupils in core subjects less than the rest of cohort especially maths	
B.	Inability to access extracurricular activities.	
C.	Inability to access i-pad and firefly learning program	
External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)		
D.	Lack of support with homework, revision and independent learning	
E.	Lower parental confidence in challenging pupils and school about work	
4. Desired outcomes		
	<i>Desired outcomes and how they will be measured</i>	<i>Success criteria</i>
A.	Progress of pupil premium pupils in core subjects matches rest of cohort. Especially maths	Data to show gap closing and closing to zero in core subjects of English, Maths , Science and RE.
B.	Extracurricular activities have good participation by pupil premium pupils.	Music lesson and trip participation in line with rest of cohort. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (17% music 21/120 people doing lessons, choir 33%) • (7-Northumbria trip 15%, 10-Belgium 3%, 11-Iceland 13%)
C.	i-pad and firefly learning program accessed in same way	Pupil premium pupils have i-pads and can access firefly
D.	Homework and revision done to same standard as whole cohort	Levels of detentions for homework in line with rest of cohort (Currently 27%)
E.	Pupils and teachers challenged over quality and quantity of work	Pupils on pupil premium interviewed and challenged by Mrs Stubbs. Mr Carruthers email/speak to staff with persistent low achievement by pupil premium pupils and asked what they are doing about it. Parents evening attendance of PPM pupils in line with other groups.

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2017/18

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Progress of pupil premium pupils in core subjects matches rest of cohort.	Staff aware of pp pupils in mark book to track progress in lesson especially in Maths where attainment has not been as strong.	Only when teachers recognise pupil premium pupils can they intervene in raising progress	SIMs updated by PPM manager when DfE lists come in to school	GC	As DfE data arrives
Pupils and teachers challenged over quality and quantity of work	ILP for each PP Pupil Highlighting withdrawal/support needs and Look at data. Contact teachers to inform/challenge Ring parents to make sure attend parents evening	To track progress and needs of pupils providing targeted intervention based on identified issues. DfE case study Beech Hill DfE case study Millennium Primary	Mrs Stubbs conducts interviews and AHT reviews the content K. Wilson keeps lists of non-attendees at parents evening and rings PPM pupils who did not attend/siblings before event	Mrs Stubbs Miss K Wilson	Termly Parents evenings

Total cost £12,349

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

ii. Targeted support					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Extracurricular activities have good participation by pupil premium pupils.	Trip, music lesson, sport kit funding	To even out opportunities for participation. EEF gives +2 months to music lessons, but records reports of wider benefits to wellbeing and attitudes to learning	Headteacher speaks to each parent individually and allocates money for trips based on this. Head of music monitors music lesson funding	GC/HT/CG	End of year
	Working club to look after fish and improve pond	To provide activities to increase self-confidence, engagement and motivation by activities including aquaculture.	Canteen manager works with GC to plan project	Joe R Higson	End of year
	Performing arts clubs	See music lessons for EEF evidence	HOH runs clubs	DK	End of year
	Lads club	To provide activities to increase self-confidence, engagement and motivation by activities outside school for boys	AHT plans project	MB	End of year

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

Progress of pupil premium pupils in core subjects matches rest of cohort.	Extra Careers advice	So pupils can have more time on CAG to fully explain higher academic level career paths	Bought in expert as LCC service scaled back	AG	End of year
	Boot camp intensive study program	To raise achievement with targeted pupils in English, Maths and Science.	AHT runs program	GC	End of year
	Academic mentors	To mentor pupils providing extra support in planning work and meeting deadlines. Pupils and parents tell us this helps them focus on studies	SLT monitor	MB	Half termly
	English / Maths Parachute/ Intervention groups	To allow pupils to be coached to reach their target grade.	SLT monitor	GC/SLT/ SOL/SS	Termly

Total cost £60,795

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

iii Other approaches					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Homework and revision done to same standard as whole cohort	Homework club in LRC	To provide safe environment in which to do homework	AHT and pastoral team monitor	Mrs Dearden	Weekly
i-pad and firefly learning program accessed in same way	Fund I-pads for year 7	To raise achievement with targeted pupils by allowing them greater access to resources and to provide a platform for home and class learning	AHT implement and monitor	PC	Termly
Progress of pupil premium pupils in core subjects matches rest of cohort.	Access to School counsellor Revision guides	DfE case study Canford Heath To provide good revision notes	AHT monitors Mrs Stubbs targets pupils through ILP	MB Mrs Stubbs	Termly In ILP interviews
					Total cost £12,595

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

6. Review of expenditure				
Previous Academic Year		2016/17		
i. Quality of teaching for all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated effect: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
<p>Pupils and teachers challenged over quality and quantity of work</p> <p>Progress of pupil premium pupils in core subjects matches rest of cohort.</p>	<p>ILP for each PP Pupil Highlighting withdrawal/support needs and Look at data Ring parents to attend parents evening</p> <p>Staff aware of pp pupils in mark book to track progress in lesson</p>	<p>Gap fluctuating over three years but attainment still high and pupil voice indicates that this is helpful.</p> <p>Raised awareness of groups</p>	<p>Continue</p> <p>Now well embedded. Need to investigate this further in maths</p>	<p>£12,049</p> <p>£0</p>

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

ii. Targeted support				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated effect: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Progress of pupil premium pupils in core subjects matches rest of cohort.	Academic mentors	Gap fluctuating over three years but attainment still high and pupil voice indicates that this is helpful. Working better in English than Maths. Review with HOD	Continue	£10,800
	English / Maths Parachute/ Intervention groups		Continue, but try to use more	£3,240
	Orchard programme funding	Pupils on program maintained attendance to end of year 11 and sat examinations in school. High cost option	Discontinue	£2,000
	Bootcamp intensive study program	Gap fluctuating over three years but attainment still high and pupil voice indicates that this is helpful.	Continue	£4,700
	Careers advice	All pupils seen applied to college or gained apprenticeships	Continue	£300
	Extracurricular activities have good participation by pupil premium pupils.	Trip, music lesson, sport kit funding	Gap fluctuating over three years but attainment still high and pupil voice indicates that this is helpful.	Continue but monitor PPM participation in orchestra after school and music trips
Lads club		Increased engagement of pupils in school See 2014 SIAMS document	Continue	£4,200
Blossom project		Positive results for girls but high cost option	Discontinue	£6,000

“Supporting individual need and classroom rigour”

	Performing arts club	Gap fluctuating over three years but attainment still high and pupil voice indicates that this is helpful.	Continue	£4,393
iii. Other approaches				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated effect: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Progress of pupil premium pupils in core subjects matches rest of cohort.	Access to School counsellor	Year 11 pupils on program maintained attendance to end of year 11 and sat examinations in school.	Continue	£4,500
	Homework club in LRC	Increased engagement of pupils in school	Continue	£2,592
	Revision guides	Gap fluctuating over three years but attainment still high and pupil voice indicates that this is helpful.	Continue	£500
	I pad roll out to PPM pupils		Continue	£5,000

7. Additional detail

Our Disadvantaged Student Strategy breakdown can be found on the school's website along with further details on results